



**DETERMINANTS INFLUENCING THE OUTCOME OF SELECTIVE NERVE ROOT BLOCK I
MANAGEMENT OF LUMBAR RADICULAR SYNDROME**

Dr. Narayanan, Dr. A.T. Shanmugaraja, Dr. Barathiselvan and Dr. Neelakrishnan

Division of Orthopaedics, RMMCH, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu. India

Article History: Received 2nd October, 2016, Accepted 20th October, 2016, Published 21st October, 2016

ABSTRACT

Selective nerve root block (SNRB) is an approved procedure in management of lumbar radicular pain. The aim was to study the determinants that influence the therapeutic effectiveness of selective nerve root injection in treatment of patients with Lumbar radicular pain. **Materials & Methods:** 28 patients between July 2015 to May 2016 with lumbar radicular pain underwent SNRB. All Selective Nerve Root Blocks were performed as inpatient procedures without premedication. Pain severity was evaluated using various assessment scales (visual analogue scale, Rolland – Morris scale,) and results are analyzed both pre procedure and post procedure. Various parameters like age, sex, nature of work, duration of symptom, amount of pain relief, ability to return back to their regular activities were assessed. **Results:** In our study we found that selective nerve root block was more effective in patients presenting with acute symptoms, who are moderately built, with MSU 2AB grading and who were engaged in moderate level of physical occupation with maximum VAS & RMDQ score at the time of presentation. SNRB was less effective in obese patient of more than 80 kgs, BMI of < 28. **Conclusion:** Nerve Root Block is an effective therapeutic tool for Lumbar Radicular pain and should be recommended as the initial treatment of choice for this condition. Various constitutional, environmental and behavioral factors influence the outcome.

Keywords: SNRB – Selective nerve root block, VAS – Visual Analogue Scale, RMDQ – Rolland Morris Disability Questionnaire.

1. INTRODUCTION

Macnab first described selective nerve root blocks in 1971 (Macnab, 1971). This infiltration performed with contrast agent and lidocaine aimed to differentiate different sources of leg pain in an equivocal clinical situation. So far, the diagnostic aspect² has been the predominant reason for a nerve root block. A systematic analysis of the therapeutic effect of nerve root blocks has so far not been extensively studied. This study is aimed to analyze the determinants influencing the outcomes of selective nerve root block in management of patients with sciatica.

The aim was to conduct a prospective study to evaluate the patients presenting with sciatica both clinically & radiologically. And also to assess the adequacy of relief provided by Nerve Root Block for Lumbar Radicular pain. The various parameters which influence the effectiveness of selective nerve root injection in treatment of patients with Lumbar radicular pain were also analyzed.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The study population consisted of consecutive, eligible sciatic patients with unilateral symptoms to below the knee that had lasted 3 to 28 weeks. A positive dural tension sign (limited straight leg rising) was a prerequisite for entry. The patients had come to the outpatient department of Rajah Muthiah Medical College Hospital, Annamalai University. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Rajah Muthiah Medical College Hospital, Annamalai University. Patients with neurological deficit, Local infection, Coagulopathies were excluded from this study. MRI was done in all patients as a standard protocol to look for mechanical lesions.

Evaluation of patients

The self-administered questionnaire items included education, estimation of physical workload, mental job stress, smoking, medical history (including back pain and sciatica) and history of current episode. Job status of those patients currently employed was characterized by a 3-scale classification (sedentary job, mixed job and physical job) (Ilmarinen *et al.* 1985). Every patient recorded his/her back pain and leg pain

*Corresponding author: **Dr Narayanan**, Division of Orthopaedics, RMMCH, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu. India

on 10 VAS scales and disability with the Disability Questionnaire (RMDQ)³.

Technique:

All Selective Nerve Root Blocks were performed as inpatient procedures without premedication. Informed consent was obtained. Under aseptic precautions, under X ray fluoroscopy guidance, the nerve root was identified. 1 ml of lignocaine and 1 ml of Depomedrol is injected at the nerve root all patients underwent a standardized program of intensive physical therapy, which included procedures for local pain relief and reconditioning exercises for the spinal muscle, for at least 6 weeks after the procedure.

Pain severity was assessed immediately after the procedure and after 6hrs. Then after 24hrs, 48hrs. Patient was discharged the next day. Follow up was done weekly for upto 1 month. Then monthly for 6 months. Pain severity was evaluated using various assessment scales (visual analogue scale, Rolland – Morris³ scale,)

3.RESULTS AND OBSERVATIONS:

Total no of Patients 28

RESULTS

AGE : In our study , there were 20 (71.4%) patients in the age group of 30 -40years. 5 (17.9%) in the age group of 41-50years .3 (10.7%) cases were above 60 years.

SEX: In our study ,there were 25 (89.3%) males and 3 (10.7%) female patients.

SIDE: Left sided involvement was 67.9% (n=19) and right sided was 32.1% (n=9)

LEVEL : L4 – L5 level was involved in 21(75%). L5 – S1 was involved in 7(25%)

OCCUPATION: In our study there were 5 drivers and 4 manual laborers , constituting 32% of the total number.2 patients were doing only activities of daily living (ADL). The level of occupation was classified as sedentary, moderate & Heavy.

DURATION OF SYMPTOMS:

11 Patient presented to the hospital between 5 – 8 weeks of onset of symptoms (39.3%). 7 patients presented between 9 – 12 weeks (25.0%). 5 patients presented within 4 weeks (17.9%). 3 patients had symptoms for more than 6 months(10.7%).

HEIGHT: In the height group of 161 – 170cm there were 18 patients . 7 patients were between 171-180 cms. 3 patients were in the height range of 150-160.

WEIGHT: In our study patient in the weight group of 71 – 75 Kgs there were 10 patients. 8 patients were in in the range of 65- 70 Kgs. 5 patients were above 80 kgs.

MSU GRADING: In our study 13 cases had a MSU Grade of IB , followed by 11 cases with 2 AB . 4 cases had 2B MSU Grade.

VAS SCORE (PRE SNRB): there were 8 patients with VAS score of 7 , 11 patients with VAS Score of 8 and 9 patients with VAS Score of 9 before the procedure in our study. mean pre VAS SCORE before SNRB was 8.03 with a range of 7 to 9.

RMDQ SCORE (PRE SNRB): There were 7 patients with RMDQ Score in the range of 16-18 before the procedure. 12

patients had RMDQ Score in the range of 19-21 and 9 patients had RMDQ Score in the range of 22-24 before the procedure. The mean RMDQ score before SNRB was 20.07 (range of 16 – 22).

OBSERVATIONS:

PRE PROCEDURE:

- SCIATICA was predominant among males during the fourth decade. It was more prevalent among heavy laborers. left side involvement was more common. L4 – L5 level was more involved.
- Patients presented for treatment mostly after 5 -8 weeks of onset of symptoms. 2B MSU grading of lesion was most common in MRI Grading. The mean VAS score was 8.0 & the mean RMDQ score was 20.07.
- The Pre VAS Score was maximum (VAS -9) for patients who presented acutely within less than 4 weeks of onset of symptoms.
- The Pre VAS Score was minimum (VAS – 7.33) for patients who presented late after 18 weeks.
- The RMDQ Score was maximum (21.4) for patients during the acute stage of Sciatica . The RMDQ Score was least (17.5)for patients who presented between 13-18 weeks of onset of symptoms.
- Tall patients with Height in the range of 171 -180 (n = 7) had higher pre procedure VAS & RMDQ Scores
- More Obese patients with weight > 80 kgs (n= 5) had high Pre procedure VAS Scores. The RMDQ Score was more among less obese patients with weight in the range of 65 – 70 Kgs.
- The pre VAS Score was high among moderately obese patients. The pre procedure RMDQ Score was higher in normal weight category
- The Pre Procedure VAS & RMDQ Score was maximum in 2B type of MSU Disc lesion and was minimum for 1B type of MSU Disc lesion.
- The Pre procedure VAS & RMDQ Score was maximum in type 3 level of heavy occupation (n=20).
- Patients were on follow up for a minimum of 6 months. 12 patients were on 6-8 months of follow up. 10 patients were on 9-11 months of follow up. 3 patients were on more than 11 months of follow up.

POST PROCEDURE:

- The mean VAS SCORE before the SNRB procedure was 8.03. It reduced to a mean of 1.32. 6 hours after SNRB. So there was 83.56 % reduction in the VAS Score immediately after SNRB. The mean VAS Score after 48 hrs was 2.21, which is 72.48% reduction from PRE SNRB VAS Score .
- The mean RMDQ Score was 20.07 before SNRB procedure. It reduced to a mean of 11.14 at 1 week after SNRB procedure. So there was 45 % reduction in the RMDQ Score.The Mean RMDQ Score reduced to a mean of 9.8 after 1month, 9.48 after 3 months, 9.60 after 6 months of follow up. So at the





Roland-Morris Questionnaire

1. I stay at home most of the time because of the pain in my back.
2. I change position frequently to try and make my back comfortable.
3. I walk more slowly than usual because of the pain in my back.
4. Because of the pain in my back, I am not doing any of the jobs that I usually do around the house.
5. Because of the pain in my back, I use a handrail to get upstairs.
6. Because of the pain in my back, I lie down to rest more often.
7. Because of the pain in my back, I have to hold on to something to get out of a reclining chair.
8. Because of the pain in my back, I ask other people to do things for me.
9. I get dressed more slowly than usual because of the pain in my back.
10. I only stand up for short periods of time because of the pain in my back.
11. Because of the pain in my back, I try not to bend or kneel down.
12. I find it difficult to get out of a chair because of the pain in my back.
13. My back hurts most of the time.
14. I find it difficult to turn over in bed because of the pain in my back.
15. My appetite is not very good because of the pain in my back.
16. I have trouble putting on my socks (or stockings) because of the pain in my back.
17. I only walk short distances because of the pain in my back.
18. I sleep less because of the pain in my back.
19. Because of the pain in my back, I get dressed with help from someone else.
20. I sit down for most of the day because of the pain in my back.
21. I avoid heavy jobs around the house because of the pain in my back.
22. Because of the pain in my back, I am more irritable and bad tempered with people.
23. Because of the pain in my back, I go upstairs more slowly than usual.
24. I stay in bed most of the time because of the pain in my back.

MODERATE

UNIVERSAL PAIN ASSESSMENT TOOL

This pain assessment tool is intended to help patient care providers assess pain according to individual patient needs. Explain and use 0-10 Scale for patient self-assessment. Use the faces or behavioral observations to interpret expressed pain when patient cannot communicate his/her pain intensity.



end of 6 months of follow up (n=25) there was 52% reduction in RMDQ Score .

- Patients who presented with acute sciatica between 1-4 weeks and who had the maximum VAS Score(9.0) before the procedure had maximum reduction in VAS Score (0.80) after the procedure.(%)
- Patients who presented late after 18 weeks of onset of symptoms, who had the minimum VAS Score (7.33) before the procedure had least reduction in post SNRB VAS Score(5.33).
- There was not much significant variation in pre SNRB VAS Scores , pre SNRB RMDQ Scores and post procedure with regard to height. The percentage of reduction in scores, were almost equal in all height groups.
- The percentage of reduction in RMDQ Scores was maximum with moderately obese individuals and least with patients who were > 80 kgs.
- There was least reduction in VAS Score among patients with BMI above 28. There was no significant variation in POST SNRB Score in reference to BMI.
- 2AB type of MSU Graded disc lesions had very favorable reduction in RMDQ Scores.

Patients who had higher VAS Scores & higher RMDQ Scores had the highest reduction percentage after the SNRB.

4.DISCUSSION:

Data on the determinants of sciatica are largely based on cross-sectional studies, although longitudinal cohort studies potentially yield more relevant information. Determinants of lumbar disc disease (herniated disc or typical sciatica) are reviewed in two parts: constitutional factors such as body height, age, gender and obesity; environmental and behavioural factors such as occupation, smoking, leisure time activities and psychological factors;

Constitutional factors

Sciatica and risk of undergoing surgery is highest during the fourth and fifth decades of life (Kelsey & Ostfeld 1975). This age-related vulnerability is also supported by findings from cadaver studies (Adams and Hutton, 1982), and it may be related to greater prevalence of disc ruptures. The reduced incidence of disc herniation in old persons may be related to the loss of turgor and elasticity of discs with age. Male predominance of HNP has been observed among patients hospitalized for sciatica (Spangfort, 1972, Naylor, 1974, Thomas *et al.* 1983). On the other hand, in one study prevalence of sciatic symptoms did not differ between males and females (Kelsey and Ostfeld, 1975).

Body height seems to predispose to sciatica (Hrubec and Nashold, 1975, Weir 1979, Merriam *et al.* 1983), although in some studies no association was found (Kelsey and Ostfeld, 1975, Kelsey *et al.* 1984). The relative risk increased on average by 5 % among men and 4 % among women per one centimetre increase in body height. The risk was evident above heights of 180 cm for men and 170 cm for women (Heliövaara, 1987). Obesity measured as body mass

index has been found to be a significant predictor of disc disease only in men (Heliövaara, 1987). Herniations are often found in asymptomatic subjects (Boden *et al.* 1990, Jensen *et al.* 1994), but narrowing of the lumbar canal may predispose to symptomatic disc lesions and sciatica as the space is limited (Porter *et al.* 1978, Heliövaara *et al.* 1986).

Environmental and behavioural factors

Heavy physical loading and materials handling, including lifting, bending, twisting, sitting and sustained nonneutral postures predispose to low back pain (Magora, 1973)⁰. Similarly, hard physical jobs and, in particular, frequent lifting and postural stress are known to increase the risk of sciatica (Heliövaara 1989, Riihimäki *et al.* 1989). Motor vehicle driving is also positively associated with HNP and sciatica (Kelsey and Hardy, 1975, Kelsey *et al.* 1984, Heliövaara, 1987b). The incidence of sciatica during a 3-year follow-up period was 22% for machine operators, 24% for carpenters and 14% for office workers (Riihimäki *et al.* 1994). However, lifetime loading is more relevant than current conditions (Videman & Battie 1999). Moreover, many occupations are also associated with various lifestyle factors that can act as confounding factors in attempts to determine occupational effects (Ilmarinen *et al.* 1991). When lumbar disc degeneration among Finnish twins was studied, heavier lifetime occupational and leisure physical loading was associated with greater disc degeneration at the upper lumbar levels, whereas sedentary work was associated with lesser degeneration (Battie *et al.* 1995). Accident-related trauma has also been suspected of causing structural damage and accelerating degenerative changes (Videman *et al.* 1990). The risk of sciatic pain has indeed been reported to be increased among workers who had earlier had back accidents (Riihimäki 1985, Riihimäki *et al.* 1989, Heliövaara *et al.* 1991). Self-assessed strenuousness of work was a significant risk factor for sciatica in women (Heliövaara 1987b). In a Finnish follow-up study, distress symptoms predicted hospital admissions for HNP or sciatica among women who reported no severe back trouble at entry (Heliövaara *et al.* 1987b, Heliövaara *et al.* 1991). Psychosocial stress increased spine compression and lateral shear on the basis of differences in muscle co activation. Women's anterior-posterior shear forces increased in response to stress, whereas men's decreased. Certain personality traits (e.g. introverts and thinkers) were associated with increased spine loading compared with those with an opposing personality trait, and explained loading differences between subjects (Marras *et al.* 2000).

The effect of smoking on the incidence of sciatica is controversial. In a Finnish follow-up study, smokers and ex-smokers had a similar increased risk of sciatica (Manninen *et al.* 1995), whereas in other studies smoking was of borderline or no significance (Heliövaara *et al.* 1987b, Riihimäki *et al.* 1994).

In our study we found that selective nerve root block was more effective in patients presenting with acute symptoms, who are moderately built, with MSU 2AB grading and who were engaged in moderate level of physical occupation with maximum VAS & RMDQ score. SNRB was less effective in obese patient of more than 80 Kgs, BMI of < 28.

5. CONCLUSION:

Nerve Root Block is an effective therapeutic tool for Lumbar Radicular pain and should be recommended as the initial treatment of choice for this condition. Various constitutional, environmental and behavioral factors influence the outcome.

6. REFERENCES:

- Adams, MA and Hutton, WC. 1982. Prolapsed intervertebral disc. A hyperflexion injury. 1981 Volvo Award in Basic Science. *Spine* 7: 184–191.
- Andersson, GB, Svensson, HO, and Oden, A. 1983. The intensity of work recovery in low back pain. *Spine* 8: 880–884.
- Heliövaara, M. 1987a. Body height, obesity, and risk of herniated lumbar intervertebral disc. *Spine* 12: 469–472.
- Hrubec, Z and Nashold, BS Jr 1975. Epidemiology of lumbar disc lesions in the military in World War II. *Am J Epidemiol* 102: 367–376.
- Ilmarinen, J, Louhevaara, V, Korhonen, O, Nygård, CH, Hakola, T and Suvanto, S. 1991. Changes in maximal cardiorespiratory capacity among aging municipal employees. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 17: 99–109.
- Kelsey, JL and Ostfeld, AM. 1975. Demographic characteristics of persons with acute herniated lumbar intervertebral disc. *J Chronic Dis* 28: 37–50.
- Macnab, I. 1971. Negative disc exploration. An analysis of the causes of nerve-root involvement in sixty-eight patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 53:891-903.
- Magora, A 1973. Investigation of the relation between low back pain and occupation. IV. Physical requirements: bending, rotation, reaching and sudden maximal effort. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 5: 186–190.
- Marras, WS, Davis, KG, Heaney, CA, Maronitis, AB and Allread, WG. 2000. The influence of psychosocial stress, gender, and personality on mechanical loading of the lumbar spine. *Spine* 25:3045–305
- Roland, M, Morris, R. 1983. A study of the natural history of back pain. Part I: development of a reliable and sensitive measure of disability in low-back pain. *Spine* 1 8: 141-4
- Spangfort EV (1972) The lumbar disc herniation. A computer-aided analysis of 2,504 operations. *Acta Orthop Scand (Suppl)* 142: 1–95.
- Van Akkerveeken, PF. 1993. The diagnostic value of nerve root sheath infiltration. *Acta Orthop Scand Suppl*, 251:61–3.
- Videman T & Battie MC (1999) The influence of occupation on lumbar degeneration. *Spine* 24: 1164–1168.
