

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

**INFLUENCE OF VERMICOMPOST ON THE PHYSICO-CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF  
FLYASH WITH BEDDING MATERIAL BY EARTHWORM *EISENIA FETIDA***

<sup>\*1</sup>S. Sarojini. <sup>2</sup>N. Jothivel. <sup>2</sup>G.Gunasekaran. <sup>1</sup>A.Christy Ponni

<sup>\*1</sup>Department of Zoology, T.B.M.L.College, Porayar, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Tamilnadu, India

*Article History: Received 2<sup>nd</sup> September, 2017, Accepted 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2017, Published 30<sup>th</sup> September, 2017*

**ABSTRACT**

Flyash is a pulverised product from thermal power plants generated by the burning of coal for several use of flyash have been developed viz. substitute of cement in concrete, land filling, mine filling, agriculture etc. Around 120 million tonnes of flyash (FA) is being produced as a waste every year from different thermal power plants in India. Attempts have been made to use flyash for its chemical composition for upgrading the wasteland or agriculture purposes. Vermicomposting technology which involves rapid decomposition of organic matter with the help of microorganisms present in the gut of earthworm is found to be effective in increasing microbial activity in the soil and to enhance nutrient soil availability. Flyash helped to transform considerable amounts of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, total potassium and micronutrients from flyash into more soluble forms and thus resulted in increased bioavailability of the nutrients in the vermicomposted material. The present studies have indicated potential role of earthworm like *E. fetida* with various proportions of Bedding material (BM) to convert the flyash into the best manure upto 60% FA and BM was observed to be best for vermicomposting. Among different combinations of FA and BM nutrient availability was significantly higher in the 20% +80% FA+BM treatment compared with the other treatments. Similarly the lower pH and OC content was observed in this treatments. FA based vermicomposting will help in bulk utilization of the FA which is a waste product and otherwise may also cause ground water contamination, mass reduction of FA, nutrients increased by many folds as it increases the porosity is very beneficial. That will ensure sustainable management of bulk waste and environment management.

**Keywords:** Coal flyash, Earthworms, Vermicompost, *E.fetida*, Macronutrients.

**1.INTRODUCTION**

Environmental pollution due to release of smoke, gases, effluents and solid wastes from industries is one of the major issues of global concern. Combustion of bituminous and sub-bituminous coal and lignite for generation of electricity in thermal power plants produces solid wastes such as fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag and flue gas desulphurization (FGD) materials, which are commonly known as coal combustion by-products (CCPS) (Vomberg, 1998). Fly ash is a heterogeneous mixture of amorphous and crystalline phases and is generally considered to be a ferroaluminosilicate mineral with Al, Ca, Fe, K, Na and Si as predominant elements (Adriano *et al.*, 1980; El-Mogazi *et al.*, 1988; Mattigod *et al.*, 1990). Fly ash is a serious source of air

pollution. Since it remains air borne for a long period of time and causes health hazards (T.E.R.I., 1998). Besides being a health hazard, fly ash also degrades the environment. According to the data provided by Govt. of India more than 110 mt (million ton) of FA per annum (Kumar *et al.*, 1998; Jamwal, 2003; Yeledhalli *et al.*, 2007) is produced, of which, 4 mt is released into the atmosphere. Coal combustion by-products were largely treated as waste materials. Therefore, disposal and utilization of FA need careful assessment to prevent conversion of arable land into landfills and accumulation of toxic metals in soil (Petruzelli, 1989). On the other hand vermicomposting is a cheap and suitable technology for decomposition of different types of organic waste into value added material. Keeping in view of the present, demand and necessity for vermicomposting, it is proposed to utilize lignite FA in the production of vermicompost. FA is disposed of either dry or wet methods. In dry disposal, the FA is dumped in landfills and FA basins.

*\*Corresponding author: Dr. S. Sarojini, Department of Zoology, T.B.M.L.College, Porayar, Tamilnadu, India*

In wet method, the FA is washed out with water into artificial lagoons and is called as pond ash. Both methods ultimately lead to dumping the FA on open land, which degrades the soil and endangers human health and the environment. Approximately on an average, 95% of fly ash consists of oxides of Si, Al, Fe and Ca and about 0.5 to 3.5% consists of Na, P, K and S. Pressmud a major by-product from sugar industry. The sugar industries in India are of vital importance to the Indian economy. There are 464 sugar industries in India out of which 36 sugar industries are in Tamil Nadu. Sugarcane is cultivated in 4 million hectares in India with the annual production of 267.5 million tons of cane and 12.9 million tons of sugar. In Tamil Nadu this crop is cultivated in 2.6 lakhs hectares.

As ecosystem engineers, earthworms generate many important physical changes in the soil environment. Use of earthworms for waste management, organic matter stabilization, soil detoxification and vermicompost production have been reported by many authors (Parthasarathi and Ranganathan, 2000; Chaudhuri et al., 2002; Manivannan et al., 2004; Sarojini et al., 2009; Manimegala et al., 2009; Ananthkrishnasamy et al., 2009; Dharani et al., 2010). The earthworms and/or vermicompost are used to: degrade organic waste, indicate environmentally polluted soil, turnover the soil, prepare protein rich animal feed, produce medicine, improve soil physico-chemical properties, restore soil fertility, induce plant growth, increase plant productivity, reduce pathogenic microbes etc. In nutshell, earthworms may be referred to as waste stabilizers, compost manufacturers, protein producers, pollution preventers and ecosystem engineers. Hence at present, earthworms are aptly called as "nature's miniature factories" and as "Biogold".

Parthasarathi et al. (2008) showed variations in the pH and EC in the sandy loam soil after the application of vermicompost for black gram crop. Similarly, Ansari (2007) observed the influence of vermicompost on the pH and EC of soil after the production of spinach, onion and potato. Most of the studies restricted to specific waste and / or specific soil types and / or specific plants. Vermicompost is rich in plant macro nutrients (N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O), secondary elements (Ca and Mg) and vital micronutrients like Fe, B, Zn and Mo (Biswas and Mukherjee, 1995; Ramalingam, 1997). Many workers analysed the chemical composition of casts and have reported : a near neutral pH (7) (Haimi and Huhta, 1987); reduction in electrical conductivity (Dusan et al., 1991); reduction in organic carbon content (Jambhekar, 1992) and uniform increase in the levels of total nitrogen (Lee, 1985; Orzco et al., 1996); total potassium (Tiwari et al., 1989) in vermicast. Though plenty of studies are available on waste management/ waste recycling with earthworms, limited studies is available on the utilization of earthworms in the management of fly ash. The available studies are increasing nutrient availability from fly ash (Bhattacharya and Chattopadhyay, 2001), analysis of heavy metal status in vermicomposted fly ash and in earthworm tissue (Gupta et al., 2005), mass recovery of nutrients through vermicomposting of fly ash (Venkatesh and Eevera, 2008), growth and reproduction of earthworms in lignite fly ash (Sarojini et al., 2009) and lignite fly ash management (Ananthkrishnasamy et al., 2009), conversion of lignite fly ash into nutritive compost by earthworm (Dharani et al., 2010).

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

*Eisenia fetida* worms were obtained from the stock culture maintained in the Department of Zoology, Annamalai

University, India. Fly ash (FA) was collected from thermal power station 1, Neyveli Lignite Corporation (NLC), Neyveli, Tamil Nadu, India. The urine free cow dung was collected from the experimental dairy farm in Annamalai University. The collected cow dung was sun dried and powdered, used as the substrate for the earthworm culture. One month old and cured pressmud was obtained from E.I.D. Parry Sugar Mill at Nellikuppam, Cuddalore District, Tamil Nadu, India.

### Bedding material preparation (BM)

The standard bedding material was prepared as per procedure (Kavin et al., 1996) and raw materials used were cow dung and pressmud. The bedding material was prepared by taking dry weight of pressmud and cow dung in the ratio of 1:1 (on weight basis).

### Preparation of Different mixtures (Bedding material and Flyash) and Inoculation of worms

Combination of Bedding material (BM) and flyash (FA) in five proportions viz.,

Control - 100 %, (cow dung alone); T<sub>1</sub>. 20% + 80% (FA+BM); T<sub>2</sub>. 30% + 70% (FA+BM); T<sub>3</sub> - 40% + 60% (FA+BM); T<sub>4</sub>. 50% + 50% (FA+BM); T<sub>5</sub>. 60% + 40% (FA+BM) were prepared. The prelitellate worms were weighed and inoculated at the rate of 15g/kg of each mixture. Six replications have been maintained in circular troughs for each combination.

### Collection of vermicomposts and compost

Vermicomposts from all the experiments and compost from worm unworked control compost were collected on 0, 30<sup>th</sup>, 60<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> days and air dried.

### Analysis of macronutrients

PH and EC were determined by the method described by ISI Bulletin (1982). The total nitrogen (N), total phosphorus (P), total potassium (K) content of the sample was estimated by Kjeldhal method as per Tandon (1993) for nitrogen, colorimetric method for phosphorus and flame photometric method for potassium. Organic carbon content of the sample was determined by empirical method as described by Walkely and Black (1934).

### Statistical Analysis

The statistical significance between treatments was analyzed by using two way ANOVA.

## 3. RESULTS

Physico chemical properties such as PH, EC, OC, N, P and K of FA and BM mixture used in this study of *E. fetida* are depicted in Table 1 to 6. The results suggested that earthworm play a significant role in processing flyash into organic manure. The earthworm activity accelerated the process of decomposition of FA and stability the waste. The vermicomposted manure was much darker in colour and had been processed into much more homogenous mass after 90 days of earthworm activity, whereas the material without earthworms remained in compact and clumps.

Vermicomposted manure also had a lower pH (Table1) in all treatments up to end of the experiment. The gradual reduction of pH was noted in T<sub>1</sub> followed by other treatments. The EC (Table2) value increased in the beginning of composting to end of the experiment. The highest EC value was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> followed by others. OC (Table3) decreased with time in all

treatments. The OC is lost as CO<sub>2</sub> and total N increase as a result of carbon loss. The maximum reduction of OC was observed in C and T<sub>1</sub> followed by other treatments. Earthworms also had a great impact on nitrogen transformation in the compost. Total N increased (Table4) in all treatments, the maximum TN content was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> followed by other treatments up to 60 days. After that it will gradually decreased at end of the experiment. Total P (Table5) also increased with time in all treatment process and gradually decreased after 60 days of experimental period. The highest amount of TP was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> followed by other treatments. Similarly the total K was initially increased in all treatments up to 60 days and then decreased gradually at 90<sup>th</sup> day. The highest value of TK was noted in T<sub>1</sub> (Table 6) followed by others.

In all our treatments, the value of macronutrients increased rapidly with time. Better results were obtained for the mixture of T<sub>1</sub> (20%FA+80%BM) combination in the vermicomposting process and 20% to 60% FA combination provide better results of vermicomposting.

**Table 1: pH of the vermicomposts of flyash + bedding material mixture by *E.fetida* (p<0.05)**

| Substrate proportions | Initial (0) | Vermicomposting days |                       |                      |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                       |             | 30                   | 60                    | 90                   |
| C                     | 4.26±0.51   | 6.25±0.89<br>(46.71) | 8.83±0.21<br>(107.27) | 6.74±0.30<br>(58.21) |
| T <sub>1</sub>        | 4.12±0.68   | 6.03±0.75<br>(46.35) | 8.48±0.39<br>(105.82) | 6.44±0.56<br>(56.31) |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 3.98±0.34   | 5.25±0.29<br>(31.90) | 7.73±0.35<br>(94.22)  | 6.01±0.79<br>(51.00) |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | 3.72±0.73   | 4.55±0.47<br>(22.31) | 6.72±0.33<br>(80.64)  | 5.44±0.32<br>(46.23) |
| T <sub>4</sub>        | 3.56±0.72   | 4.27±0.52<br>(19.94) | 6.24±0.89<br>(75.28)  | 5.01±0.29<br>(40.73) |
| T <sub>5</sub>        | 3.28±0.26   | 3.63±0.33<br>(10.73) | 5.25±0.34<br>(60.06)  | 4.45±0.30<br>(35.67) |

ANOVA

| Analysis of variance | Sum of square | Df  | Mean of square | F-value    | P-value     |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|----------------|------------|-------------|
| Rows                 | 14.9112       | 23  | 0.64831341     | 28.3381584 | 5.30865E-37 |
| Columns              | 13.8068       | 5   | 2.761365       | 120.70088  | 4.61101E-44 |
| Error                | 2.6309        | 115 | 0.02287775     |            |             |

C – Control, T<sub>1</sub> (20% FA + 80% BM), T<sub>2</sub> (30% FA + 70% BM), T<sub>3</sub> (40% FA + 60% BM), T<sub>4</sub> (50% FA + 50% BM), T<sub>5</sub> (60% FA + 40% BM)  
Initial (0) – Worm unworked substrates, Mean± SD of six observations,

**Table 2: Electrical Conductivity (dS/m) of the vermicomposts of flyash + bedding material mixture by *E. fetida* (p<0.05)**

| Substrate Proportions |           | Vermicomposting days |                      |                      |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                       |           | 30                   | 60                   | 90                   |
| C                     | 0.76±0.16 | 0.84±0.10<br>(10.52) | 0.97±0.16<br>(27.63) | 1.07±0.17<br>(40.78) |
| T <sub>1</sub>        | 0.79±0.14 | 0.87±0.10<br>(10.12) | 0.98±0.10<br>(24.05) | 1.10±0.20<br>(39.24) |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 0.85±0.13 | 0.93±0.08<br>(9.41)  | 1.05±0.08<br>(23.52) | 1.15±0.06<br>(35.29) |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | 0.89±0.08 | 0.97±0.16<br>(8.98)  | 1.08±0.13<br>(21.34) | 1.20±0.06<br>(34.88) |
| T <sub>4</sub>        | 0.93±0.09 | 1.01±0.06<br>(8.60)  | 1.12±0.06<br>(20.43) | 1.24±0.09<br>(33.40) |
| T <sub>5</sub>        | 1.01±0.12 | 1.09±0.08<br>(7.92)  | 1.20±0.06<br>(18.81) | 1.31±0.10<br>(29.70) |

ANOVA

| Analysis of variance | Sum of square | Df  | Mean of square | F-value  | P-value  |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|----------------|----------|----------|
| Rows                 | 2.982333      | 23  | 0.129667       | 81.7675  | 1.99E-60 |
| Columns              | 1.3214        | 5   | 0.26428        | 166.6544 | 5.8E-51  |
| Error                | 0.182367      | 115 | 0.001586       |          |          |

C – Control, T<sub>1</sub> (20% FA + 80% BM), T<sub>2</sub> (30% FA + 70% BM), T<sub>3</sub> (40% FA + 60% BM), T<sub>4</sub> (50% FA + 50% BM), T<sub>5</sub> (60% FA+40% BM) Initial (0) – Worm unworked substrates, Mean± SD of six observations, (+/-) – Percent change of increase or decrease over the initial.

**Table 3: Organic Carbon content (%) of the vermicomposts of flyash + bedding material mixture of *E. fetida* (p<0.05)**

| Substrate proportions | Initial(0) | Vermicomposting days   |                        |                        |
|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|                       |            | 30                     | 60                     | 90                     |
| C                     | 38.89±0.87 | 31.95±1.71<br>(-17.84) | 27.46±1.53<br>(-29.39) | 22.28±1.35<br>(-42.71) |
| T <sub>1</sub>        | 36.28±1.23 | 30.12±1.42<br>(-16.97) | 25.85±1.82<br>(-28.74) | 21.15±1.34<br>(-41.70) |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 33.44±1.30 | 28.46±1.49<br>(-14.89) | 24.69±1.67<br>(-26.16) | 20.36±1.13<br>(-39.11) |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | 29.74±0.68 | 25.94±1.79<br>(-12.77) | 22.67±1.48<br>(-23.77) | 19.75±1.11<br>(-33.59) |
| T <sub>4</sub>        | 26.63±0.77 | 24.01±1.07<br>(-9.83)  | 20.81±1.43<br>(-21.85) | 19.25±1.42<br>(-27.71) |
| T <sub>5</sub>        | 24.42±1.46 | 22.98±1.08<br>(-5.89)  | 19.30±1.17<br>(-20.96) | 18.51±1.24<br>(-24.20) |

ANOVA

| Analysis of variance | Sum of square | Df  | Mean of square | F-value  | P-value  |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|----------------|----------|----------|
| Rows                 | 2795.77       | 23  | 121.5552       | 47.85227 | 3.16E-48 |
| Columns              | 1384.564      | 5   | 276.9127       | 109.0114 | 5.91E-42 |
| Error                | 292.1251      | 115 | 2.540218       |          |          |

C – Control, T<sub>1</sub> (20% FA + 80% BM), T<sub>2</sub> (30% FA + 70% BM), T<sub>3</sub> (40% FA + 60% BM), T<sub>4</sub> (50% FA + 50% BM), T<sub>5</sub> (60% FA + 40% BM)  
Initial (0) – Worm unworked substrates, Mean± SD of six observations, (+/-) – Percent change of increase or decrease over the initial.

**Table 4: Nitrogen content (%) of the vermicomposts of flyash + bedding material mixture of *E. fetida* (p<0.05)**

| Substrate proportions | Initial(0) | Vermicomposting days  |                      |                      |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                       |            | 30                    | 60                   | 90                   |
| C                     | 2.18±0.16  | 3.68 ±0.22<br>(68.80) | 3.88±0.38<br>(77.98) | 3.58±0.37<br>(64.22) |
| T <sub>1</sub>        | 2.09±0.31  | 3.21±0.25<br>(53.58)  | 3.46±0.29<br>(65.55) | 3.34±0.35<br>(59.80) |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 2.08±0.21  | 3.17±0.19<br>(52.40)  | 3.20±0.32<br>(53.84) | 3.18±0.24<br>(52.88) |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | 2.03±0.29  | 3.09±0.17<br>(52.21)  | 3.11±0.20<br>(53.20) | 3.07±0.23<br>(51.23) |
| T <sub>4</sub>        | 1.99±0.18  | 2.99±0.25<br>(50.25)  | 3.04±0.26<br>(52.76) | 2.98±0.16<br>(49.74) |
| T <sub>5</sub>        | 1.94±0.15  | 2.88±0.12<br>(48.45)  | 2.95±0.14<br>(52.06) | 2.86±0.11<br>(47.42) |

ANOVA

| Analysis of variance | Sum of square | Df  | Mean of square | F-value  | P-value  |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|----------------|----------|----------|
| Rows                 | 44.7888       | 23  | 1.947339       | 73.6101  | 5.46E-58 |
| Columns              | 6.8642        | 5   | 1.37284        | 51.89383 | 6.52E-28 |
| Error                | 3.0423        | 115 | 0.026455       |          |          |

C – Control, T<sub>1</sub> (20% FA + 80% BM), T<sub>2</sub> (30% FA + 70% BM), T<sub>3</sub> (40% FA + 60% BM), T<sub>4</sub> (50% FA + 50% BM), T<sub>5</sub> (60% FA + 40% BM)  
Initial (0) – Worm unworked substrates, Mean± SD of six observations, (+/-) – Percent change of increase or decrease over the initial.

**Table 5: Phosphorous content (%) of the vermicomposts of flyash + bedding material mixture of *E. fetida* (p<0.05)**

| Substrate proportions | Initial(0) | Vermicomposting days |                      |                      |
|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                       |            | 30                   | 60                   | 90                   |
| C                     | 1.32±0.11  | 2.07±0.16<br>(56.81) | 2.12±0.14<br>(60.60) | 2.02±0.13<br>(53.03) |
| T <sub>1</sub>        | 1.30±0.10  | 1.86±0.18<br>(43.07) | 1.96±0.15<br>(50.76) | 1.93±0.12<br>(48.46) |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 1.29±0.09  | 1.84±0.08<br>(42.63) | 1.87±0.22<br>(44.96) | 1.80±0.18<br>(39.53) |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | 1.26±0.14  | 1.79±0.21<br>(41.26) | 1.82±0.30<br>(44.44) | 1.72±0.08<br>(36.50) |
| T <sub>4</sub>        | 1.23±0.08  | 1.72±0.17<br>(39.83) | 1.76±0.11<br>(43.08) | 1.65±0.15<br>(34.14) |
| T <sub>5</sub>        | 1.20±0.16  | 1.65±0.15<br>(37.50) | 1.71±0.10<br>(42.50) | 1.57±0.19<br>(30.83) |

ANOVA

| Analysis of variance | Sum of square | Df  | Mean of square | F-value  | P-value  |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|----------------|----------|----------|
| Rows                 | 10.4881       | 23  | 0.456004       | 98.57301 | 8.37E-65 |
| Columns              | 1.805387      | 5   | 0.361077       | 78.05295 | 2.53E-35 |
| Error                | 0.531997      | 115 | 0.004626       |          |          |

C – Control, T<sub>1</sub> (20% FA + 80% BM), T<sub>2</sub> (30% FA + 70% BM), T<sub>3</sub> (40% FA + 60% BM), T<sub>4</sub> (50% FA + 50% BM), T<sub>5</sub> (60% FA + 40% BM)  
Initial (0) – Worm unworked substrates, Mean± SD of six observations, (+/-) – Percent change of increase or decrease over the initial.

**Table 6: Potassium content (%) of the vermicomposts of flyash + bedding material mixture of *E. fetida* (p<0.05)**

| Substrate proportions | Vermicomposting days |                      |                      |                      |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                       | Initial(0)           | 30                   | 60                   | 90                   |
| C                     | 0.60±0.13            | 0.96±0.12<br>(60.00) | 1.05±0.04<br>(75.0)  | 0.94±0.13<br>(56.66) |
| T <sub>1</sub>        | 0.64±0.12            | 1.01±0.08<br>(57.81) | 1.10±0.10<br>(71.87) | 0.98±0.09<br>(53.12) |
| T <sub>2</sub>        | 0.70±0.05            | 1.08±0.06<br>(54.28) | 1.17±0.12<br>(67.14) | 1.05±0.08<br>(50.00) |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | 0.75±0.15            | 1.13±0.09<br>(50.67) | 1.21±0.07<br>(61.33) | 1.10±0.04<br>(46.66) |
| T <sub>4</sub>        | 0.80±0.03            | 1.18±0.11<br>(47.5)  | 1.27±0.11<br>(58.75) | 1.16±0.12<br>(45.0)  |
| T <sub>5</sub>        | 0.84±0.13            | 1.21±0.07<br>(44.04) | 1.30±0.13<br>(54.76) | 1.20±0.08<br>(42.85) |

| Analysis of variance | Sum of square | Df  | Mean of square | F-value  | P-value  |
|----------------------|---------------|-----|----------------|----------|----------|
| Rows                 | 5.772742      | 23  | 0.250989       | 592.9884 | 2.1E-108 |
| Columns              | 1.148158      | 5   | 0.229632       | 542.5299 | 3.44E-78 |
| Error                | 0.048675      | 115 | 0.000423       |          |          |

C – Control, T<sub>1</sub> (20% FA + 80% BM), T<sub>2</sub> (30% FA + 70% BM), T<sub>3</sub> (40% FA + 60% BM), T<sub>4</sub> (50% FA + 50% BM), T<sub>5</sub> (60% FA + 40% BM)

Initial (0) – Worm unworked substrates, Mean± SD of six observations, (+/-) – Percent change of increase or decrease over the initial.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Soil pH is one of the important factors as the microorganisms and higher plants respond markedly to their physico-chemical environment. In the present study upward shift in pH with increasing concentrations of fly ash may be attributed to the release of Ca, Na, Mg and OH ions along with other trace elements. They added that the shifting of pH with respect to different days may be due to neutralization of H<sup>+</sup> by the alkali salts present in soil as well as the solubility of more basic metallic oxides of FA with the time. The present findings are supported by Gupta *et al.* (2007) and Hasnah and Hasan (2008) who have reported a decrease in pH from alkaline to acidic or neutral (6.5 ± 0.1 to 7.3 ± 0.2) in all vermireactors of water hyacinth using an epigeic earthworm *E. fetida*. Suthar (2009) results also goes parallel with our results by using *E. fetida*, in which he has reported a decrease in pH during the vermicomposting of biogas slurry, wheat straw and vegetable waste. The reduction in pH in the final products could also have been due to the production of CO<sub>2</sub> and organic acids by microbial activity during the process of bioconversion of the different substrates in the beds (Haimi and Huhta, 1986; Albanell *et al.*, 1988; Chan and Griffiths, 1988; Elvira *et al.*, 1998). Decomposition of organic matter leads to formation of ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) ions and humic acids (Komlis and Har 2006). These two components have exactly opposite effect on the pH. Presence of carboxylic and phenol groups in humic acids caused lowering of pH while ammonium ions increased the pH of the system. Combined effect of these two oppositely charged ions actually regulates the pH of vermicompost leading to a shift of pH towards neutrality (Pramanik *et al.*, 2007). In the present study at the end of the vermicomposting process, it was found that the substrates in all the treatments were near neutral which supporting the above findings.

EC represents the concentration of soluble salts. This increase in EC could be due to release of mineral salts such as phosphate and ammonia ions through the decomposition of organic substances, when substrate passes through the gut of earthworms. This shows that during vermicomposting process, the soluble salts

level increases because of the mineralization activity of earthworms and microorganisms in the organic substance. Joshi and Kelkar (1952) have reported a higher electrical conductivity in casts, which denotes an increase in the level of soluble salts. These findings were supported by the results of the previous work conducted in the laboratory with other earthworm species during the vermicomposting of leaf litter, weeds and agricultural residues (Daniel and Karmegam, 1999; Karmegam and Daniel, 2000 a, b). Gradual increase in EC was recorded in all the feed substrates under decomposition (Table 2). This may be attributed due to freely available ions and minerals that are generated during ingestion and excretion by the earthworms (Garg *et al.*, 2006). The present study is supported by Garg *et al.* (2006) who have reported lower pH and gradual increase in EC in the vermicomposts of all feed mixtures from different types of wastes (Kitchen waste, agro-residues, and Institutional wastes) by *E. fetida*. Karmegam and Daniel (2009) also reported that the reduction of pH and (significant increase in EC) in the final product of vermicomposting of different types of organic substrates by *L.mauritii* and *P.ceylanensis*. On the contrary Venkatesh and Eevera (2008) reported that a decrease in EC during vermicomposting of FA with CD and it might be due to leaching of ammonia.

Suthar (2007a) reported that earthworms fragment and homogenize the ingested material through muscular action of their foregut which results in increasing of surface area for microbial action, whereas, microorganisms biochemically degrade and provide some extracellular enzymes required for organic waste decomposition within the worms gut. However, this biological mutualism results in the loss of carbon from substrates in the form of CO<sub>2</sub> due to decomposition and mineralization of organic carbon (Dominguez, 2004). The reduction of organic carbon during 90 days period in the vermicompost could be due to the respiratory activity of earthworms and microorganisms (Curry *et al.*, 1995; Edwards and Bohlen, 1996). In the present study total organic carbon decreased with time in all treatments, falling in line with the observations made by Crawford (1983), where he reported that the organic carbon is lost as carbon-dioxide and total nitrogen increase as a result of carbon loss. Microorganism, that uses the carbon as a source of energy and nitrogen for building cell structure brings about decomposition of organic matter. In the observations of Karmegam and Daniel (2000a) the percentage of OC was reduced day-by-day while that of nitrogen was increased in the worm introduced substrates. Recent studies revealed that vermicomposting process cause significant decline in OC budget of the waste decomposition system and accelerates the waste degradation process (Garg and Kaushik, 2005; Loh *et al.*, 2005; Suthar, 2006, 2007a, 2007b).

Nitrogen is the key-nutrient substance for both plants and animals as well as microorganisms. When soil organic matter is decomposed, nitrogen is released as the usable nutrient ion, ammonium. Originally ammonium was known as the only mineral form of nitrogen, so this conversion of organic nitrogen to the ammonium form was named as mineralization. This release of nitrogen from organic matter decomposition is a major source of usable nitrogen. It is well established that earthworms modify the spatial distribution of soil organic matter in the soil profile, alter its turnover and accelerate nutrient cycling. Earthworms contribute to carbon and nitrogen cycling through the accumulation (consumption), storage (assimilation) and turnover (respiration, excretion, mortality) of nutrients from casts (Whalen *et al.*, 1998). Bhattacharya and Chattopadhyay (2004) have reported nitrogen availability was more during vermicomposting in combination with higher quantity of CD.

Experiments of Kaushik and Garg (2005) demonstrated much rapid decomposition rate of the organic matter, accompanied by increase in N during the first few weeks. Fall in line with above studies in the present study it was observed that N in all the treatments and control increased up to 60<sup>th</sup> day and a decreasing trend was observed after 60<sup>th</sup> day. Similar results have been reported by other workers (Bansal and Kapoor, 2000; Atiyeh *et al.*, 2000a).

Phosphorus is the second major key plant nutrient. It is an inorganic nutrient required by both plant and microorganisms. It brings certain essential steps in the accumulation and release of energy during cellular metabolism. It is an essential part of nucleoproteins in the cell nuclei (DNA). Phosphorus has roles in cell division, in stimulation of early root growth, in hastening plant maturity, in energy transformation within the cells and in fruiting and seed production. Bacteria and other organisms continue to hydrolyze organic phosphorus from dead organic residues, making it available for plants. Increase in phosphorus during vermicomposting is probably through mineralization and mobilization of phosphorus by bacterial and faecal phosphatase activity of earthworms (Edwards and Lofty, 1972; Satchel and Martin, 1984; Krishnamoorthy, 1990). Vermicomposting of fly ash was proved to be an efficient method in providing P. This was mainly attributed to the greater phosphatase activity in earthworm casts as reported by Satchell *et al.* (1984). Phosphorus availability was lowest in FA, as discussed earlier (Kumar *et al.*, 1998) and increased when mixed with CD. In the present study incorporating organic wastes with FA in different combinations resulted in increased P availability. The P increases upto a level of 40% (W/W) of fly ash beyond which it declines. The reason may be the concentration of fly ash which remains non toxic for the microbial transformation of inorganic phosphorus to the available form (Mullen *et al.*, 1992). The present study *E. fetida* have clearly established that decreased phosphorus content after 60<sup>th</sup> day of vermicompost may be due to decreased microbial activity (mineralization) and decreased phosphatase activity.

Potassium is the third most used element by plants. It is one of the elements whose usual chemical compounds are most soluble. It is the most abundant metal cation in plant cells but soil humus furnishes very little potassium during decomposition. Kaviraj and Sharma (2003) reported 10% increase of total K by *E. fetida* and 5% by *L. mauritii* during the vermicomposting of municipal solid waste and it was due to the influence of microflora. In the present investigation mineralization of K was more in vermicomposts, which indicates the role of earthworm and microorganisms in mineralization process as described by Suthar (2007b). Results to Bakthavathsalam and Geetha (2004) revealed that the increased levels of N and K observed in the vermicomposts of paddy chaff and weed plants materials indicated their effective decomposition when they pass through the gut of *L. mauritii*. Suthar (2007b) indicated the presence of highest mineralization of N, P and K in mixed material by *P. excavatus* (mixed crop residue+ cow dung). From the results it may be concluded that the rate of mineralization could be decreased due to the increasing concentration of FA which had higher proportion of heavy metals which exerts toxicity. The higher concentration of FA affected the population of microbes and quantity of microbial enzymes.

Hence this study indicates that considerable amount of lignite FA can be used along with BM to enrich the soil through vermicomposting. From the current study, it is clear that the use of *E. fetida* to mitigate toxicity of FA seems to be feasible technology with upto 40% FA with BM for sustainable and

efficient for vermicomposting without showing any toxicity to earthworms. This was supported by Gupta *et al.* (2005), Venkatesh and Eevera (2008), Ananthkrishnasamy *et al.* (2009) and Sarojini *et al.* (2009) where they have reported lower percentage of FA supported the activities of earthworms. The survey of literature indicated that data on vermicomposting and chemical analysis are available plenty on organic wastes but information about vermicomposting of FA and chemical analysis of the vermicompost are scanty. Further, the chemical characterization of vermicompost obtained from the above said experiments is very essential to have an idea about their nutrient status and fertilizing quality. Though few studies have been made on the nutrient changes in fly ash during vermicomposting (Bhattacharya and Chattopadhyay, 2001, 2006; Venkatesh and Eevera, 2008; Ananthkrishnasamy *et al.*, 2009).

## 5.CONCLUSION

In conclusion from the current study it is clear that the use of *Eisenia fetida* to mitigate toxicity of metals seems to be feasible technology and 20% to 60% of flyash mixture can be used for sustainable and efficient for vermicomposting, without showing any toxicity to earthworms. The concentrations of macronutrients (NPK) were found to increase in the earthworm treated series of BM and FA combinations.

## 6.ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to the Head, Department of Zoology, and authorities of Annamalai University for providing laboratory facilities.

## 7.REFERENCES

- Adriano, D.C., Page, A.L., Elseewi, A.A., Chang, A.C., Straughan, I. 1980. Utilization and disposal of fly ash and other coal residues in terrestrial ecosystems: A review. *J. Environ. Qual.* 9: 333-343.
- Albanell, E., Plaiats, J., Cabrero, T. 1988. Chemical change during vermicomposting (*Eisenia fetida*) of sheep manure mixed with cotton industrial waste. *Boil. Fert. Soil* 3: 266-269.
- Ananthkrishnasamy, S., Sarojini, S., Gunasekaran, G., Manimegala, G. 2009. Fly ash - A lignite waste management through vermicomposting by indigenous earthworm *Lampito mauritii*. *Ame. Eura. J. Agric. Environ. Sci.* 5(6): 720-724.
- Ansari, A.A. 2007. Urban planning and environment strategies and challenges. Macmillan India Ltd., New Delhi. pp: 277-279.
- Atiyeh, R.M., Dominguez, J., Subler, S., Edwards, C.A. 2000a. Changes in biochemical properties of cow manure during processing by earthworms (*Eisenia andrei* Bouche) and the effects on seedling growth- *Pedobiol.* 44: 709-724.
- Bakthavathsalam, R., Geetha, T. 2004. Macronutrient analysis of vermicomposts and their effects on the growth of Radish plant. *Environ and Ecol.* 22 (4): 941-947.
- Bansal, S., Kapoor, K.K. 2000. Vermicomposting of crop residues and cattle dung with *Eisenia fetida*. *Biores. Technol.* 37: 95-98.
- Bhattacharjee, G., Chaudhuri, P.S., Datta, M. 2001. Response of paddy (var. Trc- 87-251) crop on amendment of the field with different levels of vermicompost. *Asian J. Microbial. Biotech. Env. Sci.* 3: 191-196.
- Bhattacharjee, G. 2002. Earthworm resources and waste management through vermicomposting in Tripura. Ph.D Thesis, Tripura University, India.

- Bhattacharya, S.S., Chattopadhyay, G.N. 2001. Vermicomposting as a tool for increasing availability of nutrients in fly ash. Agricultural applications of fly ash, Proc. II Nat. Sem. On use of the fly ash in Agriculture, Annamalai University. 128-132.
- Bhawalkar, U.V., Bhawalkar, U.S. 1992. Vermiculture Biotechnology. Bhawalkar Earthworm Research Institute, Pune, India.
- Biswas, T.D., Mukherjee, S.K., (eds.). 1995. Soil fertility and fertilizer use. In. Text book of Soil Science. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Tata Mc Graw-Hill Publishing Co., Ltd., New Delhi. 222-285.
- Cabrera, M.L., Kissel, D.E., Vigil, M.F. 2005. Nitrogen mineralization from organic residues: Research opportunities. J. Environ. Qual. 34: 75-79.
- Chan, P.L.S., Griffiths, D.A. 1988. Chemical composition of pretreated pigmanure. Boil. Waste 24, 57-69. Chaudhuri, P.S., Pal, T.K., Bhattacharjee, G., Dey, S.K. 2000. Chemical changes during vermicomposting (*Perionyx excavatus*) of kitchen wastes. Trop. Ecol. 41: 107-11.
- Chaudhuri, P.S., Pal, T.K., Bhattacharjee, G., Dey, S.K. 2000. Chemical changes during vermicomposting (*Perionyx excavatus*) of kitchen wastes. Trop. Ecol. 41: 107-11.
- Chaudhuri, P.S., PAL T.K., Bhattacharjee, G., Dey, S.K. 2002. Chemical changes during vermicomposting (*Perionyx excavatus*) of kitchen wastes. Trop. Ecol. 41: 107-110.
- Crawford, J.H. 1983. Review of Composting Process, Biochem. 18: 14-15.
- Curry, J.P., Bryne, D., Boyle, K. 1995. The earthworm population of a winter cereal field and its effects on soil and nitrogen turnover. Biol. Fertil. Soil. 19: 166-172.
- Daniel, T., Karmegam, N. 1999. Bio-conversion of selected leaf litters using an African epigeic earthworm, *Eudrilus eugeniae*. Ecol. Environ. Conserve. 5: 273-277.
- Dharani, S., Manimegala, G., Gunasekaran, G., Ananthkrishnasamy, S., Sarojini, S. 2010. Vermicomposting of fly ash: a potential hazardous waste converted into nutritive compost by earthworm (*Eudrilus eugeniae*) International Journal of Global Environmental Issues. 10: (3-4): 384-397.
- Dominguez, J. 2004. State of the art and new perspectives on vermicomposting research. In: Edwards, C.A. (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. CRC press, UK. 401-424.
- Dusan, J.U., Teresa, V.M., Adriano, C.M., Ricardo, L.A. 1991. Use of the worm *Eisenia fetida* for the degradation of animal manure (cattle and goat manures). Advances-en-production-Animal (Chile). 16: 189-201.
- Edwards, C.A., Bholen, P.J. 1996. Biology and Ecology of Earthworms, 3<sup>rd</sup> edn, Chapman and Hall, London, P. 46.
- Edwards, C.A., Lofty J.R. 1972. Biology of earthworms. Halsted Press, New York, NY.
- EI-Mogazi, D., Lisk, D.J., Weinstein, L.H. 1988. A review of physical, chemical and biological properties of fly ash and effects on agricultural ecosystems, Sci. Total Environ. 74: 1-37.
- Elvira, C., Sampedro, L., Benitez, E., Nogales, R. 1998. Vermicomposting of sludges from paper mill and dairy industries with *Eisenia andrei*: A pilot scale study. Biores. Technol. 63: 205-211.
- Garg, V.K., Kaushik, P. 2005. Vermistabilization of textile mill sludge spiked with poultry droppings by epigeic earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. Biores. Technol. 96: 1063-1071.
- Garg, P., Gupta, A., Satya, S. 2006. Vermicomposting of different types of waste using *Eisenia fetida*: A comparative study. Biores. Technol. 97: 391-395.
- Govindan, V.S. 1998. Vermiculture and vermicomposting. In: Ecotechnology for pollution control and environmental management (R.K. Trivedy, Arivind Kumar, Eds.) Enviro Media, Karad, India. 49-67.
- Gupta, S.K., Tiwari, A., Srivastava, R., Murthy, R., Chandra, S. 2005. Potential of *Eisenia fetida* for sustainable and efficient vermicomposting of fly ash. Water, Air Soil Pollut. 163: 293-302.
- Gupta, R., Mutiyar, P.K., Rawat, N.K., Saini, M.S., Garg, V.K. 2007. Development of a water hyacinth based vermireactor using an epigeic earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. Biores. Technol. 98: 2605-2610.
- Haimi, J., Huhta, V. 1986. Capacity of various organic residues to support adequate earthworm biomass for vermicomposting. Biol. Fertil. Soil. 2: 23-27.
- Haimi, J., Huhta, V. 1987. Comparison of composts produced from identical wastes by vermistabilization and conventional composting. Pedobiol. 30: 137-144.
- Hasnah Md. Jais., Hassan, H.M. 2008. Waste conversion to vermicast by *Eisenia foetida* given four types of organic substrates in the natural Malaysian environmental conditions. Journal of Bioscience. 19(2): 63-72.
- Jambhekar, H. 1992. Use of earthworm as a potential source to decompose organic wastes. Proc. Nat.Sem. Org. Fmg., Coimbatore, India, pp. 52-53.
- James, S.W. 1991. Soil, nitrogen, phosphorus and organic matter processing by earthworms in tall grass prairie. Ecol. 72(6): 2101-2109.
- Jamwal, N. 2003. Is it all grey? Down to earth (June, 30), 38-41.
- Joshi, N.V., Kelkar, B.V. 1952. Role of earthworms in soil fertility. Indian J. Agric Sci. 72: 169-196.
- Joshi, N.V., Kelkar, B.V. 1952. Role of earthworms in soil fertility. Indian J. Agric Sci. 72: 169-196.
- Kale, R.D. 1998. Earthworms: Nature's gift for utilization of organic wastes. In C.A. Edwards (Ed.), Earthworm Ecology, Soil and Water conservation Society, New York: Ankeny, Lucie Press. pp. 355-373.
- Karmegam, N., Daniel, T. 2000a. Decomposition of leaf litters using the compost worm, *eisenia fetida*. Indian. J. Environ. Ecolplan. 3: 111-116.
- Karmegam, N., Daniel, T. 2000b. Utilization of some weeds as substrates for vermicompost preparation using epigeic earthworm, *Eudrilus eugeniae*. Asian J. Microbiol Biotechnol. Environ. Sci. 2: 63-66.
- Karmegam, N., Daniel, T. 2009. Investigating efficiency of *Lampito mauritii* (Kinberg) and *Perionyx ceylanensis* Michaelsen for vermicomposting of different types of organic substrates. Environmentalist. 29: 287-300.
- Kaushik, P., Garg, V.K. 2004. Dynamics of biological and chemical parameters during vermicomposting of solid textile mill sludge mixed with dung and agricultural residues. Biores. Technol. 94(2): 203-209.
- Kavian, M.F., Ghaknekar, S.D., Kulkarni, P.R. 1996. Biomangement of paper mill sludge using culture of red American earthworm *Lumbricus rubellus*. Indian J. Environ. Prot. 15(5): 330-333.
- Kaviraj, B., Sharma, S. 2003. Municipal solid waste management through vermicomposting employing exotic and local species of earthworms. Biores. Technol. 90: 169-173.
- Komilis, D.P., Ham, R.K. 2006. Carbon-di-oxide and ammonia emissions during composting of mixed paper yard waste and food waste. Waste manage. 26: 62-70.
- Krishnamoorthy, R.V. 1990. Mineralization of phosphorus by faecal phosphatases of some earthworms of Indian tropics. Pro. Indian Acad. Sci. Anim. Sci. 99: 509-518.
- Kumar, A.C. 1994. State of the art report on vermiculture in India, Council for Advancement of peoples action and rural technology (CAPART), New Delhi. pp. 60.

- Kumar, A., Sarkar, A.K., Singh, R.P., Sharma, V.N. 1998. Characterization of fly ash from steel plants of eastern India. J. India Soc. Soil Sci. 46: 456-461.
- Lee, K.E. 1985. Earthworms: Their Ecology and Relationships with Soils and Land Use. Academic press, London.
- Loh, T.C., Lee, Y.C., Liang, J.B., Tan, D. 2005. Vermicomposting of cattle and goat manures by *Eisenia fetida* and their growth and reproduction preference. Biores. Technol. 96: 111-114.
- Manimegala, G., Kavitha, V., Sarojini, S., Gunasekaran, G., Ravichandran, R. 2009. Toxic effects of lignite fly ash during vermicomposting on the growth and reproduction of earthworm *Lampito mauritii*. The Internet J. Toxicol. 7(1): 1-9.
- Manivannan, S., Ramamoorthy, P.S., Parthasarathi, K., Ranganathan, L.S. 2004. Effect of sugar industrial wastes on the growth and reproduction of earthworms. J. Exp. Zool. India. 7: 29-37.
- Mattigod, S.V., Rai, D., Eary, L.E., Ainsworth, C.C. 1990. Geochemical factors controlling the mobilization of inorganic constituents from fossil fuel combustion residues. I. Review of the Major Elements. J. Environ. Qual. 19: 188-201.
- Mullen, M.D., Wolf, D.C., Beveridge, J.J., Balley, G.W. 1992. Sorption of heavy metals by the soil fungi *Aspergillus niger* and *Mucor rouxii*. Soil Biol. Biochem. 24: 129-135.
- Nogales, R., Melgar, R., Guerrevo, A., Lozada, G., Beniter, E., Thompson, R., Gomez, M. 1999. Growth and reproduction of *Eisenia andrei* in dry olive cake mixed with other organic wastes. Pedobiol. 43: 744-752.
- Orazco, F.H., Cegarra, J., Trujillo, L.M., Roig, A. 1996. Vermicomposting of coffee pulp using the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. Effects on C and N contents and the availability of nutrients. Biol. Fertil. Soil. 22: 162-166.
- Partahsarathi, K., Ranganathan, L.S. 2000. Chemical characteristics of mono and polycultured soil worm casts by tropical earthworms. Environ. Ecol. 18: 742-746.
- Parthasarathi, K., Balamurugan, M., Ranganathan, L.S. 2008. Influence of vermicompost on the physico-chemical and biological properties in different types of soil along with yield and quality of the pulse crop-blackgram. Iran. J. Environ. Health. Sci. Eng. 5(1): 51-58.
- Petruzzelli, G. 1989. Recycling wastes in agriculture: heavy metal bioavailability. Agriculture. Ecosys. Environ. 27: 493-503.
- Pramanik, K., Ghosh, P., Ghosal, P.K., Banik, P. 2007. Changes in organic C, N, P and K and enzyme activities in vermicompost of biodegradable organic wastes under liming and microbial inoculants. Biores. Technol. 98: 2485-2494.
- Ramalingam, R. 1997. Studies on the life cycle, growth and population dynamics of *Lampito mauritii* (Kinberg) and *Eudrilus eugeniae* (Kinberg) cultured in different organic wastes and analysis of nutrients and microbes of vermicompost. Ph.D. Thesis, Annamalai University, India.
- Ramalingam, R., Ranganathan, L.S. 2001. Vermicomposting of pressmud boosts nutrient quality of compost. Ecol. Environ. Cons. 7: 297-299.
- Ravi kumar, T.N., Yeledhalli, N.A., Ravi, M.V., Narayana Rao, K. 2008. Physical, physico-chemical and enzyme activities of vermiash compost. Karnataka. J. Agric. Sci. 21(2): 222-226.
- Sarajini, S., Ananthkrishnasamy, S., Manimegala, G., Prakash, M., Gunasekaran, G. 2009. Effect of lignite fly ash on the growth and reproduction of earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. E.J. Chem. 6(2): 511-517.
- Satchell, J.E., Martin, K. 1984. Phosphatase activity in earthworm faeces. Soil Biol. Biochem. 16: 191-194.
- Senapati, B.K., Dash, M.C., Rane, A.K., Panda, B.K. 1980. Observation on the effect of earthworms in the decomposition process in soil under laboratory conditions. Comp. Physiol. Ecol. 5: 140-142.
- Suthar, S. 2006. Potential utilization of guar gum industrial waste in vermicompost production. Biores. Technol. 97: 2474-2477.
- Suthar, S. 2007. Production of vermifertilizer from guar gum industrial wastes by using composting earthworm *Perionyx sansibaricus* (Perrier). Environ. 27: 329-335.
- Suthar, S. 2007a. Vermicomposting potential of *Perionyx sansibaricus* (Perrier) in different waste materials. Biores. Technol. 98: 1231-1237.
- Suthar, S. 2007b. Nutrient changes and biodynamics of epigeic earthworm *Perionyx excavatus* (Perrier) during recycling of some agriculture wastes. Biores. Technol. 98: 1608-1614.
- Suthar, S. 2008. Bioremediation of aerobically treated distillery sludge mixed with cowdung by using an epigeic earthworm *Eisenia fetida*. Environ. 28: 76-84.
- Suthar, S. 2009. Vermicomposting of vegetable market solid waste using *Eisenia fetida*: Impact of bulking material on earthworm and growth and decomposition rate. Ecol. Eng. 35: 914-920.
- T.E.R.I. 1998. Reclaiming ash pond by means of mycorrhizal-organobiofertilizer. Quarterly reports submitted to fly ash mission, TIFAC, DST, Tata Energy Research Institute, New Delhi, India.
- Talashilkar, S.C., Bhargarath, P.P., Mehta, V.B. 1999. Changes in the chemical properties during composting of organic residues as influenced by earthworm activity. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 47: 50-53.
- Tiwari, S.C., Tiwari, B.K., Mishra, R.R. 1989. Microbial populations, enzyme activities and nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium enrichment in earthworm casts and in the surrounding soil of a pineapple plantation. Biol. Fertil. Soil. 8: 178-182.
- Venkatesh, R.M., Eevera, T. 2008. Mass reduction and recovery of nutrients through vermicomposting of fly ash. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research. 6(1): 77-84.
- Vincelas-Apka, M., Loquet, M. 1997. Organic matter transformation in lignocellulosic waste products composted or vermicomposted (*Eisenia fetida* Andrei): Chemical analysis and <sup>13</sup>C CPMAS NMR spectroscopy. Soil Biol. Biochem. 29: 751-758.
- Vom Berg, W. 1998. Utilization of fly ash in Europe. In: Verma, C.V.J., Lal, P.K., Kumar, V., Lal, R., Krishnamurthy, R. (Eds.), Proc. of Int. Conf. on fly ash disposal and utilization, Vol. I, Central Board of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi, India. pp. 8-14.
- Walkely, A., Black, I.A. 1934. An examination of the Detijareff method for determining the organic matter and proposed modification of the chronic acid titration method. Soil Sci. 37: 29-38.
- Whalen, J.K., Paustian, K.H., Parmelee, R.W. 1998. Model stimulation of the carbon and nitrogen fluxes through earthworms. Abstract, 6<sup>th</sup> International symposium on earthworm ecology. Vigo, Spain. (31 August-4 September) p.33.
- Yeledhalli, N.A., Prakash, S.S., Gurumurthy, S.B., Ravi, M.V. 2007. Coal Fly Ash as Modifier of Physico-Chemical and Biological Properties of Soil. Karnataka J Agri. Sci. 20: (3).